An Investigation on Lyapunov Exponents Calculation Methods Applied to Experimental Aeroelastic Data

Andreia Raquel Simoni, Rui Marcos Grombone de Vasconcellos, and Flávio Donizeti Marques

Engineering School of São Carlos - University of São Paulo. Av. Trabalhador Sancarlense, 400, CEP 13566-590, São Carlos, Brazil

Abstract: The analysis of non-linear dynamical systems can be based on data from either a mathematical model or an experiment. Mathematical models for aeroelastic response associated to the dynamic stall behavior are very hard to obtain. In this case, experimental or flight data seems to provide a more suitable basis for non-linear dynamical analysis. Dynamic systems techniques based on time series analysis can be adequately applied to non-linear aeroelasticity. When experimental data are available, state space reconstruction methods have been widely considered. Moreover, the Lyapunov exponents provides qualitative and quantitative characterization of nonlinear systems chaotic behavior. A positive Lyapunov exponent is a strong signature of chaos. This work presents the application of Lyapunov exponents calculation for nonlinear aeroelastic responses, in order to predict chaotic behavior. State space reconstruction has been also performed by means of the method of delays. An aeroelastic wing model has been constructed and tested in a closed circuit wind tunnel. The wing model has been mounted on a turntable that allows variations in the wing incidence angle. Structural deformation is captured by means of strain gages thereby providing information on the aeroelastic response. The method of delays has been used to identify an embedded attractor in the state space from experimentally acquired aeroelastic response time series. To obtain the time delay value to manipulate the time series during reconstruction, the autocorrelation function analysis has been used. For the attractor embeeding dimension calculation the correlation integral approach has been considered. To predict positive Lyapunov exponents two methods have been considered. Data filtering has been also considered and the effects on Lyapunov exponents calculation are discussed. This preliminary work has been successful in predicting chaotic structures from aeroelastic time series. Future applications are towards robust methodologies that can provide better physical interpretation on chaos in aeroelastic systems.

Keywords: state space reconstruction, aeroelasticity, nonlinear systems, chaos, Lyapunov exponents

NOMENCLATURE

d = embedding dimension
T = time delay
$\mathbf{x}$ = time series
$s(k)$ = measured variable
$\mathbf{y}_k$ = reconstructed state space
C = autocorrelation function
N_0 = total number of sampled points

H = Heaviside function
d_j = distance between the jth pair of nearest neighbors after i discrete-time steps
L = distance between two points in the nearest neighbor trajectories
L' = evolved initial length

Greek Symbols
λ_1 = Lyapunov exponent
Δt = sampling period of the time series

Subscripts
i = relative to time
j = relative to time
k = relative to time

INTRODUCTION

The treatment of aeroelastic phenomena with linear models have provided a reasonable amount of tools to assess and analysis most of adverse behavior, such as, *flutter* (Friedmann, 1997; Garrick, 1976). Nonetheless, modern aviation has shown advances that lead to lighter and faster aircraft, thereby increasing the danger for severe aeroelastic problems. For instance, transonic flight is surrounded by a complex mixture of flows experiencing different speeds, aggravated by shock waves appearance. Aeroelastic phenomena associated to those compressibility effects introduce a great deal of non-linear effects, and can not be predicted with linear models (Edwards, 1993). Highly separated flows also lead to complex aeroelastic phenomena that are difficult to model (Leishman and Beddoes, 1989).

Non-linear aeroelasticity research has recently become more relevant. Various approaches have been taken to model non-linear aeroelastic behavior. In some cases the complex unsteady aerodynamics has been resolved with CFD methods (Edwards, 1993), or by other methodologies to reduce the computational effort (Leishman and Beddoes, 1989; Marques, 1997). However, the majority of non-linear aeroelastic models still need to be validated or checked. In this case, experimental data is of great importance, but it is not common to find significant non-linear aeroelastic data available.

The analysis of non-linear dynamical systems can be based on data from either a mathematical model or an experiment. A variety of mathematical tools have been available to explore and analyse such possible non-linear features, which can also be applied to aeroelastic problems (Nayfeh and Balachandran, 1995). Mathematical models for aeroelastic response associated to the dynamic stall behavior or stall-induced motion are very hard to obtain. In this case, experimental or flight data seems to provide a more suitable basis for non-linear dynamical analysis. Experimental data furnishes a sequence of measurements that corresponds to a time series with the embedded system dynamics.

Typical dynamical systems responses can be assessed by means of reconstructing the state space from time series using the so-called method of delays (MOD). This technique has been shown to be robust enough to characterize non-linear dynamic systems, as well as to analyze chaotic behavior.

In recents works Marques et al (2005) and Simoni et al (2005) had made a study of the techniques of reconstruction of the space of states for series of experimental data. The gotten data had been filtered and had had its space of phase reconstructed for the filtered and not filtered series. The embeding dimension was gotten by the method of the saturation of the invariantes of the system and the time delay was gotten through the correlation function.

Extreme sensitivity to initial conditions is the commonly accepted defining property of chaos in nonlinear systems. Lyapunov exponents which determine the exponential rates at which nearby trajectories diverge on an average, are the quantitative characteristics of a chaotic orbit. For time series produced by dynamical systems, the presence of a positive characteristic exponent indicates chaos. The first algorithm to compute Lyapunov exponents for a time series was suggested by Wolf et al. (1985). Unfortunately, it requires much care when using it and one can easily obtain the wrong results. An algorithm introduced by Rosenstein et al. (1993) and by Kantz (1994), independently, tests directly for the exponential divergence of nearby trajectories and thus allows us to decide whether it really makes sense to compute a Lyapunov exponet for a given data set (Kantz and Schreiber, 2000).

The aim of this paper is to present techniques from the theory of time series analysis for the investigation of experimentally acquired non-linear aeroelastic phenomena. The characterization of the non-linear behavior of stall-induced oscillations of an aeroelastic wing is achieved using the method of delays, leading to the state space reconstruction. An aeroelastic wing model has been constructed and tested in a wind tunnel. The wing model has been mounted on a turntable that allows variations in its incidence angle. Structural deformation is captured by means of strain gages, thereby providing information on the aeroelastic responses. The test cases correspond to aeroelastic response time series at specific strain gages points due to oscillatory motions of the turntable. A self-sustained oscillatory motion observed when the turntable is left free to move in the flow field, is also considered for analysis. The parameters for applying the method of delays are the time delay and the embedding (attractor) dimension. The autocorrelation function has been used to determine the time delays, while the correlation integral is used to obtain the embedding dimension. Evolutions of reconstructed state spaces, with respect to flow and motion parameters, are presented and discussed. The methods by Wolf et al. (1985) and Roseinstein et al. (1993) have been considered to search for positive Lyapunov exponents and the comparative results are presented.

STATE SPACE RECONSTRUCTION

Consider a dynamical system

$$\mathbf{x}(k+1) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}(k)), \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ are n-dimensional vectors. The embedding theorem attributed to Takens (1981) and Mañé (1981), established that if one is able to observe a single scalar quantity, say $h(\cdot)$, of some function $g(\mathbf{x}(k))$ then the geometric structure of the system dynamics can be unfolded from this set of scalar measurements $h(g(\mathbf{x}(k))$ in a space made out of new vector

$$\mathbf{y}_k = [h(\mathbf{x}(k))\, h(g^1(\mathbf{x}(k)))\, h(g^2(\mathbf{x}(k)))\, \dots\, h(g^{\mathrm{T}_{d-1}}(\mathbf{x}(k)))]^{\mathrm{T}}, \tag{2}$$

which define motion in a d-dimensional space (Abarbanel, 1996). If d is large enough, for smooth functions $h(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$ it is shown that many important properties of $\mathbf{x}(k)$ are reproduced in the new space given by $\mathbf{y}_k$ without ambiguity (Sauer, 1991).

Let $s(k)$ denote the actual measured variable. If one choose $h(\cdot){=}s(k)$ and $g^i(\mathbf{x}(k)) = \mathbf{x}(k+T_i) = \mathbf{x}(t_0 + (k+T_i)\tau_s)$ with τ_s being the sampling time, the new vector for $T_i = iT$ takes the form

$$\mathbf{y}_k = [s(k)\, s(k+T)\, s(k+2T)\, \dots\, s(k+T(d-1))]^{\mathrm{T}}. \tag{3}$$

The space constructed by using the vectors $\mathbf{y}_k$ is called the reconstructed space, the parameter T is called time delay and d the embedded dimension. According to the theory of state space reconstruction, the geometric structure of an attractor can be observed in the d-dimensional reconstructed space if $d \geq 2d_a + 1$, with d_a the dimension of the attractor of interest. The central issue in the reconstruction of the state space is the choice of the time lag $T\tau_s$ along with the dimension d.

The time lag $T\tau_s$ is usually chosen as the quarter of the period of the predominant frequency in the Fourier spectrum of the measured variable or equivalently T is found as the first zero of the linear autocorrelation function

$$C(T) = \sum_k [s(k) - \bar{s}][s(k+T) - \bar{s}], \tag{4}$$

where $\bar{s} = \frac{1}{N_0} \sum_{k=1}^{N_0} s(k)$ with N_0 is the total number of sampled points.

There are different methodologies to assess the embedding dimension. When dynamical system responses are obtained from experiments, noise contamination is practically inevitable. The determination of the MOD parameters must follow specific procedures in order to guarantee proper state space reconstruction. The methodology considered here uses the saturation of system invariants, that is, the invariance properties of an attractor calculated from the reconstructed trajectory does not change by increasing d. To estimate d,

the average fraction of the number of points on the attractor with interdistances less than r are calculated from the correlation integral $C(r)$ (Nayfeh, 1995):

$$C^d(r) = \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{\substack{i,j=0 \\ i \neq j}}^{M} H(r - |\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{y}_j|),$$ (5)

where $M = N_0 - T(d-1)$ and $H(t)$ is the Heaviside function, that is:

$$H(u) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } u \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } u < 0 \end{array} \right. .$$ (6)

The $|\cdot|$ is taken as the Euclidean distance

$$|\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{y}_j| = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{d} (s_{i+T(k-1)} - s_{j+T(k-1)})^2}.$$ (7)

The correlation integral $C^d(r)$ is a function of r and the embedding dimension d. The slope of $\log_{10} C^d(r)$ versus $\log_{10} r$ is calculated as a function of d over a sufficient range for small interdistances r and the embedding dimension d is thus obtained when the slope becomes independent of d. The slope tends to saturate into a value called the correlation dimension.

LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS

The Lyapunov exponents are essentially a measure of the average rates of expansion and contraction of point in trajectories in phase space. They are asymptotic quantities, defined locally in state space, describing the exponential rate at which a perturbation to a trajectory of a system grows or decays with time at a certain location in the state space (Nayfeh, 1995).

Given a continuous dynamical system in a n-dimensional phase space, the Lyapunov exponents can be monitored in terms of the long-term evolution of an infinitesimal n-sphere of inicial conditions. The infinitesimal n-sphere will become an n-ellipsoid due to the locally deforming nature of the flow (Wolf, 1985). The ith one-dimensional Lyapunov exponent is then defined in terms of the length of the ellipsoidal main axis $p_i(t)$, that is,

$$\lambda_i = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log_2 \frac{p_i(t)}{p_i(0)},$$

where the λ_i are ordered from largest to smallest.

The Lyapunov exponents signs provide a qualitative picture of a system dynamics. In a three-dimensional continuous dissipative dynamical system the only possible spectra, and the attractors can be described as: $(+,0,-)$, a strange attractor; $(0,0,-)$, a two-torus; $(0,-,-)$, a limite cycle; and $(-,-,-)$, a fixed point (Wolf, 1985).

The first algorithm to compute Lyapunov exponents for a time series was suggested by Wolf et al. (1985). Its basic idea is related with the evolution of the distance, in the tangent space, of two initially next trajectories. This algoritm does not allow testing for the presence of exponential divergence, but just assumes its existence and thus yields a finite exponent for stochastic data also, where the true exponent is infinite (Kantz and Schreiber, 2000).

Given the time series $x(t)$, an d-dimensional phase portrait is reconstructed with delay coordinates. We locate the nearest neighbor (in the Euclidian sense) to the initial point $\{x(t_0),...,x(t_0+[d-1]T)\}$ and denote the distance between these two points $L(t_0)$. At later time t_1, the inicial lenght will have evolved to lenght $L'(t_1)$. The lenght element is propagated through the attractor structure and examined. We look for a data point that satisfies two criteria reasonably well: its separation, $L'(t_1)$, from the evolved and replacement elements is small. If an adequate replacement point cannot be found, we retain the points that were being used. This procedure is repeated until the fiducial trajectory has traversed the entire data file, at which point we estimate

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{t_m - t_0} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \log_2 \frac{L'(t_k)}{L(t_{k-1})}$$ (8)

where M is the total number of replacement steps.

Rosenstein et al. (1993) and Kantz (1994) had developed independently, similar algorithms based in the Wolf's algorithm. In these works they consider that the divergence between nearby trajectories in a determinated direction oscillates through the sinal. It tests directly for the exponencial divergence and thus allows us to decide whether it really makes sense to compute a Lyapunov exponent for a given data set (Kantz and Schreiber, 2000).

The algorithm by Rosenstein et al (1993) is principally based on the work of Sato et al (1987) which estimates λ_1 as

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{i\Delta t} \frac{1}{(M-i)} \sum_{j=1}^{M-i} \log_2 \frac{d_j(i)}{d_j(0)}$$ (9)

where Δt is the sampling period of the time series, and $d_j(i)$ is the distance between the jth pair of nearest neighbors after i discrete-time steps and $M = N_0 - (d-1)T$.

This algorithm allows to calculate the other invariants of the system. It seems to be adjusted in the calculation of the Lyapunov exponents in time series.

EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS AND DATABASE

The aeroelastic wing comprises a wind tunnel model of an arbitrary straight rectangular semi-span wing. The wind tunnel facility presents a testing chamber with about $2m^2$ cross-section area. The maximum flow speed in the testing chamber is $50\frac{m}{s}$ with turbulence level of 0.3%. The wing model has been fixed to a turntable that allows incidence variation to the wing. The wing semi-span is $800mm$ and the chord is $290mm$.

The model main structure has been constructed using fiber glass and epoxy resin in the shape of a tapered plate. The taper ratio is of $1 : 1.67$, where the width at the wing root is $250mm$. To provide aerodynamic shape high density foam and wooden cover have been used. The NACA0012 airfoil from wing's root to tip has been used. In order to minimize as much as possible the effects of the skin to the wing structure stiffness, both foam and wooden shell have been segmented at each $100mm$ spanwise.

Figure 1 illustrates the experimental apparatus with indications of the strain gages locations inside the wing model.

Figure 1 – Experimental set-up and strain gages locations.

Incidence motion is achieved with an electrical motor mounted beneath the turntable. The motor actions are controlled by software integrated to the acquisition system. Strain gages have been fixed to the plate surface to furnish proper measurement of the dynamic response of the wing main structure. The strain gages have been distributed along three lines spanwise. The first and last lines present three strain gages each, all to capture bending motions. The intermediate line presents three strain gages for torsional motion.

Data acquisition and the motion control of the servo motor have been achieved by using a dSPACE® DS1103 PPC controller board and real-time interface for SIMULINK®. The HBM® KWS 3073 amplifier for strain gage bridge energizing has been used to acquire and amplify the strain gage signals. The resulting signals are directly acquired by the dSPACE® controller board, allowing subsequent data storage into a PC compatible computer.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

During experiments different turntable motions have been executed and the respective aeroelastic responses at each strain gage point have been acquired. Prescribed turntable motions correspond to oscillatory and random ones, and they have been also carried out at different airspeeds (from 9 to 16.5 $\frac{m}{s}$, approximately). Oscillatory motions have been run at relatively low amplitude values (maximum of 5.5°), but such oscillations have been considered around two average angles, that is, zero and 9.5°. For the cases where the average oscillatory angle is about 9.5°, highly unsteady separated flow is occuring. These cases furnish an adequate database for non-linear phenomena investigation.

The randomly generated motions follow the same strategy. Tests have been also proceeded for static turntable at different incidences and for free turntable at a range of flow speeds. For the last one, it has been observed a peculiar self-sustained oscillatory motion at higher angles of attack.

The techniques for assessing the time delay and embedding dimension have been used to provide the basic parameters for state space reconstruction. Figure 2 shows the time delay determination by means of the autocorrelation function. The time delay is taking where the autocorrelation function becomes zero. Figure 3 illustrates the embedding dimension determination via the analysis of the correlation integral and its saturation as the dimension increases. Saturation can be observed when the curves of $\log_{10} C^d(r)$ versus $\log_{10} r$ for each dimension present a similarity in their slopes.

Figure 2 – Time delay determination.

Figure 3 – Embedding dimension determination.

State space reconstruction has been achieved and the non-negative Lyapunov exponent was calculated for stall-induced aeroelastic responses when the turntable is free to move.

When the turntable is left free, the aerodynamic forces and other flow effects are the responsable for the wing motion. It has been observed a peculiar self-sustained oscillatory motion of the wing, typical of a limit cycle. The oscillatory motion has kept the amplitude confined in between 4.0° to 14.0° turntable incidence angle. In these cases the stall has induced a deep break to the increasing pitching moment that builds up for the free wing motion immerse into the flow. Both incidence angle and frequencies increase as flow speed also increases.

The torsional measurement time series, that has been acquired from the strain gage at the point 4 (Fig. 1), has been used to reconstruct the state space. The experiments have been carried out for six different freestream velocities and the resulting time delays for these cases are summarized in the Tab. 1, where U_∞ is the freestream velocity. The embedding dimension is found to be 3, which leads to the reconstructed vectors as $\mathbf{y}_k = [x(k)\ x(k+T)\ x(k+2T)]^{\mathrm{T}}$.

Figure 4 shows the evolution in terms of freestream velocity of the reconstructed state spaces. Periodic motion is evident from

Table 1 – Parameters for free turntable.

Case	$U_\infty(\frac{m}{s})$	Delay
1	9.42	27
2	11.46	24
3	13.49	22
4	14.29	21
5	15.13	20
6	16.75	18

the obtained trajectories. The bouncing phenomenon is also observed in these cases, which characterizes the existence of a resonance mode. The effect of stall inducing the breakdown in pitching moment may be the reason for such phenomenon. The reconstructed state spaces depict a closed orbit that corresponds to a limit cycle.

Figure 4 – Evolution with respect to the freestream velocity of reconstructed state spaces for free turntable cases.

Since a positive maximal Lyapunov exponent is a strong signature of chaos, it is of considerable interest to determine its value for a given time series (Kantz, 2000). Table 2 presents the Lyapunov exponents obtained for the case 5 in free turntable with and without filtering using the method proposed by Wolf et al (1985).

Table 2 – Lyapunov exponents obtained using the method by Wolf et al. (1985)

	Lyapunov Exponents
without filtering	0.34441
with filtering	0.31661

Experience shows that even for the maximal exponent this algorithm does not yield very precise results (Kantz, 2000). One reason is that if no optimal new neighbouring trajectory is found, a bad one has to use. Another drawback is that the embedding dimension is an important parameter. For small dimension values, the trajectories may diverge simply because they are not neighbours in the true phase space.

Following Roseinstein et al. (1993) algorithm, Lyapunov exponents are determined. This method presents the adavantage not to depend on the embedding dimension. Figure 5 shows the independence of the method with respect the embedding dimension. The maximal Lyapunov exponent is given by the inclination of the parallel straight line to the linear region of the divergence curve.

Table 3 presents the Lyapunov exponents obtained for the case 5 in free turntable with and without filtering.

In the Wolf's method (Wolf, 1985), if the data are noisy it is important that the initial distance between the reference trajectory and a new neighbour are larger than the noise level, otherwise fluctuations due to noise would be interpreted as deterministic divergence (Kantz, 1994).

The choice of the time delay and replacement steps is also very important to calculate of the Lyapunov exponents. The choice of the replacement steps depends on additional parameters. Accurate exponent calculation therefore requires the consideration of

Figure 5 – Evolution of the divergence with respect the time.

Table 3 – Lyapunov exponents obtained using the method by Rosenstein et al. (1993)

	Lyapunov Exponents
without filtering	0.34405
with filtering	0.52764

the following points: the desirability of maximizing evolution times, the tradeoff between minimizing replacement vector size and minimizing the concomitant orientation error, and the manner in which orientation errors can be expected to accumulate.

Finally, in none of the two methods the filtering has modified the nature of the results. The positive exponents obtained by the two methods, indicate the presence of chaos. However, the different results between the methods should be studied in on going research.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Techniques from the theory of time series analysis, in the context of non-linear systems, is used to investigate experimentally acquired non-linear aeroelastic phenomena. An aeroelastic wing model has been tested in wind tunnel. Aeroelastic responses have been obtained from strain gages outputs. The wing is mounted on a turntable that allows variations to the incidence angle. The aeroelastic time series are related to oscillatory and free turntable motions. The aeroelastic responses studied in this paper are influenced by highly separated flow fields. The method of delays is employed and for the oscillatory turntable motion a complex evolution of the reconstructed state space is observed when reduced frequency varies for the same freestream velocity. It is possible to infer that bifurcation and chaotic behavior are related to the aeroelastic system. It has been observed that when the turntable is left free in the aerodynamic flow, a self-sustained oscillation happens. In this case, the existence of the bouncing phenomenon, characterizing a resonance mode, is observed. The reconstructed attractors depict a closed orbit corresponding to a limit cycle. The non-negative Lyapunov exponents obtained by two different methods indicate the occurrence of chaos.

REFERENCES

Abarbanel, H. D. I., 1996, "Analysis of Observed Chaotic Data", Springer-Verlag, New York.

Edwards, J. W., 1993, "Computational Aeroelasticity", Noor, A. K. and Vemuri, S. L., editors, Structural Dynamics and Aeroelasticity, Vol. 5 of Flight-Vehicle Materials, Structures, and Dynamics - Assessment and Future Directions, pp. 393 − 436. ASME.

Friedmann, P. P., 1997, "The Renaissance of Aeroelasticity and its Future", Proceedings of the International Forum on Aeroelasticity and Structural Dynamics, CEAS 97, Vol. 1, Roma, Itália, pp. 19 − 49.

Garrick, I. E., 1976, "Aeroelasticity - frontiers and beyond", Journal of Aircraft, Vol. 13, No. 9, pp. 641 − 657.

Kantz, H., 1994, "A robust method to estimate the maximal Lyapunov exponent of a time-series", Physics Letters A, Vol. 185, No. 1, pp. 77 − 87.

Kantz, H. and Schreiber, T., 2000, "Nonlinear time series analysis", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Leishman, J. G. and Beddoes, T. S., 1989, "A semi-empirical model for dynamic stall", Journal of the American Helicopter Society, Vol. 34, pp. 3 − 17.

Mañé, R., 1981, "On the Dimension of Compact Invariant Sets of Certain Nonlinear Maps", Ed. Hand, D. and Young, L., Dynamical Systems and Turbulence, pp. 230 − 242. Springer.

Marques, F. D., 1997, "Multi-Layer Functional Approximation of Non-Linear Unsteady Aerodynamic Response", PhDthesis, Uni-

versity of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

Marques, F. D., Belo, E. M., Oliveira, V. A., Rosolen, J. R. and Simoni, A. R., 2005, "On investigation of state space reconstruction of non-linear aeroelastic response time series", In: Procedings of the *XI* DINAME, Ouro Preto, MG.

Nayfeh, A. H. and Balachandran, B., 1995, "Applied Nonlinear Dynamics", John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Rosenstein, M.T., Collins, J.J., and Deluca, C.J., 1993, "A practical method for calculating largest Lyapunov exponents from small data sets", Physica D, Vol. 65, No. 1-2, pp. $117 - 134$.

Sato, S., Sano, M. and Sawada, Y., 1987, "Practical methods of measuring the generalized dimension and the largest Lyapunov exponent in high dimensional chaotic systems", Progress of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 77, No 1, pp. $1 - 5$.

Sauer, T., Yorke, J. A., and Casdalgi, M., 1991, "Embedology", Journal of Statistical Physics, Vol. 65, pp. $579 - 616$.

Simoni, A. R., Marques, F. D., Oliveira, V. A. and Belo, E. M., 2005, "Chaos prediction of stall-induced oscillations via Lyapunov exponents", In: Procedings of 18*th* International Congress of Mechanical Engineering, Ouro Preto, MG.

Takens, F., 1981, "Detecting strange attractors in turbulence", Hand, D. and Young, L., editors, Dynamical Systems and Turbulence, pp. $366 - 381$. Springer.

Wolf, A., Swift, J., Swinney, H., and Vastano, J., 1985, "Determining Lyapunov exponents from a time series", Physica D, Vol. 16, pp. $285 - 317$.

RESPONSIBILITY NOTICE